IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	. Criminal No. CCB 17-106
V.	
DANIEL THOMAS HERSL	
and	2018 BY
MARCUS ROOSEVELT TAYLOR,	11 7 29 图
WARCUS ROOSE VEEL TATEOR,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Defendants	
	BY ALLIMOTE SET OF FORM
VERDIC	r form
COUNT	CONE
We the Jury, find the Defendant DANIEL One, Racketeering Conspiracy:	THOMAS HERSL, with respect to Count
Guilty Not	Guilty
If your answer is "Not Guilty," simply pro TAYLOR on Count One. If your answer is "Guil	oceed directly to your verdict as to Defendant lty" you must answer the following question:
You must all agree that either the defenda to commit at least two racketeering acts. Please i unanimously find that either the defendant or ano commit (check AT LEAST two):	•
Racketeering Act Six (February 17, 2016, Rayta	wn Benjamin)
Racketeering Act Eight (March 22, 2016, Orees	se Stevenson)
Racketeering Act Ten (July 8, 2016, Ronald and	d Nancy Hamilton)
Racketeering Act Eleven (August 8, 2016, Denr	nis Armstrong)
Racketeering Act Twelve (September 7, 2016, S	Sergio Summerville)
Racketeering Act Fourteen (July 6, 2016, inters	state wire – HERSL)

Racketeering Act Fifteen (July 20, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Seventeen (July 20, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	<u>.</u>
Racketeering Act Eighteen (August 3, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Twenty (August 3, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	
Racketeering Act Twenty-One (August 17, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Twenty-Two (August 17, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	

ß.

COUNT ONE Cont.

Count One, Racketeering Conspiracy:	in respect to
Guilty Not Guilty	
If your answer is "Not Guilty," simply proceed directly to your verdict as HERSL on Count Two. If your answer is "Guilty" you must answer the following	
You must all agree that either the defendant or another member of the corto commit at least two racketeering acts. Please identify which two racketeering unanimously find that either the defendant or another member of the conspiracy a commit (check AT LEAST two):	acts you
Racketeering Act Six (February 17, 2016, Raytawn Benjamin)	
Racketeering Act Eight (March 22, 2016, Oreese Stevenson)	
Racketeering Act Ten (July 8, 2016, Ronald and Nancy Hamilton)	
Racketeering Act Eleven (August 8, 2016, Dennis Armstrong)	
Racketeering Act Twelve (September 7, 2016, Sergio Summerville)	
Racketeering Act Fourteen (July 6, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Fifteen (July 20, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Seventeen (July 20, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	
Racketeering Act Eighteen (August 3, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	
Racketeering Act Twenty (August 3, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	
Racketeering Act Twenty-One (August 17, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)	<u>.</u>
Racketeering Act Twenty-Two (August 17, 2016, interstate wire – TAYLOR)	

COUNT TWO

We the Two, Racket	ne Jury, find the De eering:	fendant DANIEL	THOMAS HERSI	L, with respect to Count
Guilt	y	Not (Guilty	·
If you TAYLOR or	or answer is "Not G on Count Two. If yo	uilty," simply pro our answer is "Gui	ceed directly to your lty" you must answe	r verdict as to Defendant or the following questions:
Which HERSL com	h of the following I mitted:	Racketeering Acts	did you unanimousl	y find that Defendant
Racketeerin	g Act Three (Nove	mber 5, 2014, Jin	nmie Griffin)	
You n	nay only find that I	Defendant HERSI	committed (a) or (b	b) but NOT both:
a.	committed robber	y in violation of N	Maryland Criminal C	Code § 3-402.
	Proved		Not Proved	· .
b.	committed extorti	on in violation of	Maryland Criminal	Code § 3-702;
	Proved		Not Proved	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
unanir			d extortion, which k	ind of extortion do you):
	Extortion by wron	ngful use of actual	and threatened force	e and violence
	Extortion under co	olor and pretense of	of office:	

Racketeering Act Four (November 27, 2015, Herbert Tate)

Y ou m	iay only find that L			
a.		*	of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402.	
	Proved		Not Proved	
b.	committed extort	ion in violatio	n of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702;	
	Proved		Not Proved	
unanin	•		nitted extortion, which kind of extortion itted (check one or both):	do you
	Extortion by wro	ngful use of a	ctual and threatened force and violence	
•	Extortion under c	olor and prete	nse of office:	
eering	<u> Act Five</u> (Novem	ber 28, 2015,	Antonio Santiful)	·
			Antonio Santiful) RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both	· •
You m	nay only find that I	Defendant HE	,	· :
You m	nay only find that I	Defendant HE ry in violation	RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both	:
You m	nay only find that I committed robber Proved	Defendant HE ry in violation	RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402.	
You m	nay only find that I committed robber Proved committed extort	Defendant HE ry in violation fion in violatio	RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402. Not Proved	: :
You m a. b.	nay only find that I committed robber Proved committed extort Proved If you find the De	Defendant HE ry in violation ion in violation	RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402. Not Proved n of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702;	
You m a. b.	nay only find that I committed robber Proved committed extort Proved If you find the De nously find the De	Defendant HE ry in violation ion in violation efendant comm	RSL committed (a) or (b) but NOT both of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402. Not Proved n of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702; Not Proved nitted extortion, which kind of extortion	

Racketeering Act Ten (July 8, 2016, Ronald and Nancy Hamilton)

If you find that Defendant HERSL committed (a) or (b) or both, STOP:

a.	unlawfully consp Maryland Crimin		th others to commit robbery in violation and § 3-402;	on of
	Proved		Not Proved	
b.	committed robber	ry in violation of	Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402.	
	Proved	<u> </u>	Not Proved	i
	did NOT find that dant committed (c)		ommitted (a) or (b), did you unanimo	usly find
c.	unlawfully consp Criminal Code §		to commit extortion in violation of Ma 02:	aryland
	Proved		Not Proved	
d.	committed extorti	ion in violation o	of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702:	
-	Proved		Not Proved	
-	·			ŧ
unanin	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	h kind or kinds of extortion do you ed (check one or both):	ł
	Extortion by wron	ngful use of actua	al and threatened force and violence	. ii
•	Extortion under co	olor and pretense	e of office:	

Racketeering Act Eleven (August 8, 2016, Dennis Armstrong)

If you find that Defendant HERSL committed (a) or (b) or both, STOP:

a.	a. unlawfully conspired together with others to commit robbery in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-402;		
	Proved	Not Proved _	
		,	
b.	committed robbery in violation of M	Maryland Crimin	nal Code § 3-402.
	Proved	Not Proved _	
	did NOT find that the Defendant cordant committed (c) or (d) or both:	nmitted (a) or (b), did you unanimously find
c.	unlawfully conspired with others to Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-702		on in violation of Maryland
	Proved	Not Proved _	
d.	committed extortion in violation of	Maryland Crim	ninal Code § 3-702:
	Proved	Not Proved _	<u>/</u>
unanir	If you find (c) or (d) or both, which mously find the Defendant committee		
	Extortion by wrongful use of actual	and threatened	force and violence
•	Extortion under color and pretense	of office:	

Proved /	(July 6, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)
	Not Proved
<u>cketeering Act Fifteen (</u> Ju	uly 20, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)
	Not Proved
keteering Ast Fightson /	A
Act Eighteen (August 3, 2016, interstate wire – HERSL)
Proved	Not Proved
•	

COUNT TWO Cont.

	he Jury, find the Defendant MARC Racketeering	US ROOSEVELT TA	YLOR, with respect to
Guilty	Not Guilty	<u> </u>	
	ur answer is "Not Guilty," simply properties in must answer the following question		at Three. If your answer is
Whic committed:	ch of the following Racketeering Ac	ts did you find that the	Defendant TAYLOR
Racketeerin	ng Act Two (January 24, 2014, Sha	nwn Whitting)	
If you	u find that Defendant TAYLOR con	mmitted (a) or (b) or bo	oth, STOP:
a	. unlawfully conspired together wir Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203		bbery in violation of
-	Proved	Not Proved	<u> </u>
ь	committed robbery in violation of	f Maryland Criminal C	ode § 3-402.
	Proved	Not Proved	
•	u did NOT find that the Defendant condant condant conditted (c) or (d) or both:	committed (a) or (b), di	d you unanimously find
c	. unlawfully conspired with others Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-7		violation of Maryland
	Proved	Not Proved	
d	. committed extortion in violation	of Maryland Criminal	Code § 3-702:
	Proved	Not Proved	

unani	If you find (c) or (d) or both, which kind or kinds of extortion do you mously find the Defendant committed (check one or both):
	Extortion by wrongful use of actual and threatened force and violence
	Extortion under color and pretense of office:
Racketeering	g Act Six (February 17, 2016, Raytawn Benjamin)
If you	find that Defendant TAYLOR committed (a) or (b) or both, STOP:
a.	unlawfully conspired together with others to commit robbery in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-402;
·	Proved Not Proved/
b.	committed robbery in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402.
•	Proved Not Proved
	did NOT find that Defendant TAYLOR committed (a) or (b), did you find that the Defendant committed (c) or (d) or both:
c.	unlawfully conspired with others to commit extortion in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-702:
	Proved Not Proved
d.	committed extortion in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702:
	Proved Not Proved
unanin	If you find (c) or (d) or both, which kind or kinds of extortion do you nously find the Defendant committed (check one or both):
•	Extortion by wrongful use of actual and threatened force and violence
	Extortion under color and pretense of office:

Racketeering Act Eight (March 22, 2016, Oreese Stevenson):

If you find that the Defendant **TAYLOR** committed (a) or (b) or both, STOP: a. unlawfully conspired together with others to commit robbery in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-402; Proved _____ Not Proved _____ b. committed robbery in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-402. Proved Not Proved If you did NOT find that the Defendant **TAYLOR** committed (a) or (b), did you unanimously find that the Defendant committed (c) or (d) or both: c. unlawfully conspired with others to commit extortion in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 1-203 and § 3-702: Proved Not Proved d. committed extortion in violation of Maryland Criminal Code § 3-702: Proved _____ Not Proved _____ If you find (c) or (d) or both, which kind or kinds of extortion do you unanimously find the Defendant committed (check one or both): Extortion by wrongful use of actual and threatened force and violence

Extortion under color and pretense of office:

<u>Racketeering Act Twelve</u> (September 7, 2016, Sergio Summerville):

If you find that the Defendant TAYLOR committed (a) or (b) or both, STOP:

a.		ogether with others to comm de § 1-203 and § 3-402;	it robbery in violation of
	Proved	Not Proved	·
b.	committed robbery in v	violation of Maryland Crimin	al Code § 3-402.
	Proved	Not Proved	
		ndant TAYLOR committed committed (c) or (d) or both:	(a) or (b), did you
c:	unlawfully conspired w Criminal Code § 1-203	vith others to commit extortice and § 3-702:	on in violation of Maryland
	Proved	Not Proved	
d.	committed extortion in	violation of Maryland Crimi	nal Code § 3-702:
	Proved	Not Proved	
unanin		both, which kind or kinds of nt committed (check one or b	
	Extortion by wrongful u	use of actual and threatened f	orce and violence
	Extortion under color as	nd pretense of office:	·

COUNT THREE

		ry, find the Defend bs Act Robbery a		S ROOSEVELT TAYLOR, with respect to	
. (Guilty	<u> </u>	Not Guilty_	· 	
				uct you that you must find the Defendant y" of Count Four.	
I	f your ans	wer is "Guilty" ye	ou must answe	r the following questions:	
(choose		y, unanimously fi	nd that the De	fendant violated the Hobbs Act through	
R	Robbery _	/	Extortion		
COUNT FOUR					
		, .		S ROOSEVELT TAYLOR, with respect to e of a Crime of Violence:	
G	uilty		Not Guilty _	<u> </u>	

COUNT FIVE

We the Jury, find the Defendant DANIEL THOMAS HERSL , with respect to Count Five, Hobbs Act Robbery and Extortion:					
Guilty Not Guilty					
If your answer is "Not Guilty," then I instruct you that you must find the Defendant DANIEL THOMAS HERSL "Not Guilty" of Count Six.					
If your answer is "Guilty" you must answer the following questions:					
We the Jury, unanimously find that the Defendant violated the Hobbs Act through (choose one):					
Robbery Extortion					
<u>COUNT SIX</u>					
We the Jury, find the Defendant DANIEL THOMAS HERSL , with respect to Count Six, Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Crime of Violence:					
Guilty Not Guilty					
The foregoing constitutes the unanimous verdict of the jury.					
NATURE REDACTED					
 FOREPERSON DATE					